UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Case No. 25-3497

Jonathan Daniel Clements,
Appellant-Plaintiff,

v.

State of Arkansas, et al.,
Appellees-Defendants.

SUPPLEMENT TO RULE 27 MOTION TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH APPELLATE STAY AND ENFORCE JURISDICTION

Appellant Jonathan Daniel Clements respectfully submits this Supplement to the previously filed Rule 27 Motion to Compel Compliance with the Appellate Stay and to Enforce Jurisdiction, for the limited purpose of placing additional facts before the Court demonstrating continuing obstruction by the lower court and the necessity of immediate supervisory correction.

On or about **November 20, 2025**, the Booneville District Court compelled Appellant to enter a plea of not guilty on charges relating to alleged fictitious plates and expired tags. This action occurred **after federal notice had been provided** and while jurisdictional objections were pending, and it was undertaken notwithstanding Appellant's express position that jurisdiction was disputed and subject to federal review. The compelled plea was not voluntary and was entered under protest, solely to avoid immediate adverse consequences imposed by the lower court.

At the time of the November 20, 2025 proceeding, the lower court had been placed on notice that federal filings had been initiated and that jurisdiction was contested. Despite this notice, the court proceeded as though no jurisdictional limitation existed and took affirmative action affecting Appellant's rights. This conduct constitutes an act of **jurisdictional obstruction**, as it effectively forced participation in proceedings while federal jurisdiction was being invoked and preserved.

Subsequently, on **December 19, 2025**, this appeal was docketed in this Court, and appellate jurisdiction formally attached. From that date forward, the lower court was divested of authority to take further substantive action affecting the matters under appeal,

except as permitted by law and consistent with an appellate stay. Nevertheless, the lower court has continued to act, including through refusal to accept filings and through representations that federal proceedings “have nothing to do” with the Booneville matter, despite the obvious overlap and the pendency of this appeal.

This Supplement is submitted to make clear that the November 20, 2025 forced plea was not an isolated procedural irregularity but part of a continuing pattern of conduct by the lower court that disregards federal notice, jurisdictional transfer, and the authority of this Court. That conduct has persisted after the appeal was docketed and has required Appellant to seek relief through certified mail and appellate intervention rather than through ordinary clerk filing procedures.

Accordingly, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court, in the exercise of its supervisory authority, take immediate corrective action. Such relief may include, but is not limited to, an order declaring **null and void any lower-court actions taken after December 19, 2025**, an order directing the lower court and its clerk to cease further proceedings and accept filings consistent with the appellate stay, and any further orders the Court deems necessary to protect its jurisdiction and prevent continued obstruction.

This Supplement is not submitted to expand the merits of the appeal, but solely to ensure that the Court is fully apprised of material facts bearing on compliance with the appellate stay and the necessity of supervisory enforcement.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Daniel Clements
Appellant-Plaintiff
ECC-TRUST-JDC-005 (Ecclesiastical Sovereign Trust)